

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/29/2003	Howard E. Rhodes	M4065.0939/P939	M4065.0939/P939 7143	
7590	07/14/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP				NGUYEN, THINH T	
i, NW					
Washington, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2818		
1	7590 N SHAP t, NW	7590 07/14/2005 N SHAPIRO MORIN & t, NW	10/29/2003 Howard E. Rhodes 7590 07/14/2005 N SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP t, NW	10/29/2003 Howard E. Rhodes M4065.0939/P939 7590 07/14/2005 EXAM N SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP t, NW DC 20037 ART UNIT	

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			α
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	V
	10/695,160	RHODES, HOWAR	D E.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thinh T. Nguyen	2818	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	lress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a rep ly within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTh e, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this con NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 J 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under I	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matter	·	merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-222,234-236 and 239 is/are pendin 4a) Of the above claim(s) 68-122,234,236 and 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-67,235 are subject to restriction and	239 is/are withdrawn from c	onsideration.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to be the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine the correct of the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine the correct of the cor	cepted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance tion is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFF	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Apprity documents have been re u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National S	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-	·152)

Application/Control Number: 10/695,160 Page 2

Art Unit: 2818

DETAILED ACTION

1. claims 1-122,234-236,239 are pending in the Application.

Election/Restriction

2 Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-67 and 235 in the communication with the Office on 6/27/2005 is acknowledged.

Because Applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed error in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Applicants have the right to file a divisional, continuation or continuation-in-part application covering the subject matter of the non-elected claims.

The traversal is on the ground(s) that see the election paper. This is not found persuasive For the following reasons:

A/ Applicant has not proved that the assumption by the Examiner that the sub combination has its own utility and the combination does not need the subcombination to be patentable .

B/ applicant assert that the search for the whole class 257is not a burden for the Office is unpersuasive since class 257 on the USPTO alone involves about more than 300,000 patents and patent publications and the search for this class is more than a burden for the Office.

Art Unit: 2818

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made **FINAL** and therefore non-elected claims 68-122,234,236, and 239 are presently not being considered.

3. Claims 1-67,235 are pending in the application.

Claims 1-67,235 directed to semiconductor device assembly are restricted as follows:

- 4. The claims are directed to the following patently distinct species of the claimed invention:
- Species I. Claims 1-29,235 and as best as can be understood is described in claim 1 is directed to a photo conversion device without the third doping region.
- **Species II.** Claims 30-67 and as best as can be understood is described in claim 30 is directed to a photo conversion device with the third doping region.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the

Application/Control Number: 10/695,160 Page 4

Art Unit: 2818

limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 5. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

Art Unit: 2818

CONCLUSION

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thinh T Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-1790.

The examiner can normally be reached on 9.00 AM 6.00 PM Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, DAVID NELMS can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval [PAIR] system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thurspyre

Thinh T Nguyen

Art Unit 2818